Benelux Algorithm Programming Contest (BAPC) 2024

Solutions presentation

The BAPC 2024 jury October 27, 2024

Problem: Given a list of scoreboards, determine whether they are *chronological*: i.e., if these scoreboards can occur in this order in a single match.

Problem: Given a list of scoreboards, determine whether they are *chronological*: i.e., if these scoreboards can occur in this order in a single match.

Observation: The list of scoreboards is chronological if and only if a list of scores is non-decreasing for both teams.

Problem: Given a list of scoreboards, determine whether they are *chronological*: i.e., if these scoreboards can occur in this order in a single match.

Observation: The list of scoreboards is chronological if and only if a list of scores is non-decreasing for both teams.

Solution: For each list, check whether each pair of consecutive scores is non-decreasing.

Problem: Given a list of scoreboards, determine whether they are *chronological*: i.e., if these scoreboards can occur in this order in a single match.

Observation: The list of scoreboards is chronological if and only if a list of scores is non-decreasing for both teams.

Solution: For each list, check whether each pair of consecutive scores is non-decreasing. **Running time:** O(n).

Problem: Given a list of scoreboards, determine whether they are *chronological*: i.e., if these scoreboards can occur in this order in a single match.

Observation: The list of scoreboards is chronological if and only if a list of scores is non-decreasing for both teams.

Solution: For each list, check whether each pair of consecutive scores is non-decreasing. **Running time:** O(n).

Statistics: 60 submissions, 56 accepted





Problem: Determine the final rank of your favourite team based on audience chants.



Problem: Determine the final rank of your favourite team based on audience chants.

Solution: Simulate the resolving of the scoreboard. For each audience chant:

- Look at the lowest ranking team with pending submissions.
- If the audience chant ends with exclamation marks, move the team up the list (number of ys - 3) positions.



Problem: Determine the final rank of your favourite team based on audience chants.

Solution: Simulate the resolving of the scoreboard. For each audience chant:

- Look at the lowest ranking team with pending submissions.
- If the audience chant ends with exclamation marks, move the team up the list (number of ys - 3) positions.

Simplification: For each team, you only need the number of pending submissions and their position, not the full state of the scoreboard.

- lindig has a second as the second second

Problem: Determine the final rank of your favourite team based on audience chants.

Solution: Simulate the resolving of the scoreboard. For each audience chant:

- Look at the lowest ranking team with pending submissions.
- If the audience chant ends with exclamation marks, move the team up the list (number of ys - 3) positions.

Simplification: For each team, you only need the number of pending submissions and their position, not the full state of the scoreboard.

Running time: $\mathcal{O}(n^2m)$. But the limits on *n* and *m* are 100, so could even be as slow as $\mathcal{O}(n^2m^2)$.



Problem: Determine the final rank of your favourite team based on audience chants.

Solution: Simulate the resolving of the scoreboard. For each audience chant:

- Look at the lowest ranking team with pending submissions.
- If the audience chant ends with exclamation marks, move the team up the list (number of ys - 3) positions.

Simplification: For each team, you only need the number of pending submissions and their position, not the full state of the scoreboard.

Running time: $\mathcal{O}(n^2m)$. But the limits on *n* and *m* are 100, so could even be as slow as $\mathcal{O}(n^2m^2)$.

Statistics: 90 submissions, 44 accepted, 12 unknown







Problem: Given a list of prices, divide them into groups and decide for each group whether to round the price of the group to a multiple of 5 cents, to minimize the total price.

Observation 1: Increasing or decreasing a price by a multiple of 5 cents will always change the final answer by this same amount.

....

- **Observation 1:** Increasing or decreasing a price by a multiple of 5 cents will always change the final answer by this same amount.
 - We may reduce all prices modulo 5 cents, as long as we add the differences to the final result.

-1 1

. . . .

- **Observation 1:** Increasing or decreasing a price by a multiple of 5 cents will always change the final answer by this same amount.
 - We may reduce all prices modulo 5 cents, as long as we add the differences to the final result.

and the second

Observation 2: It is always optimal to put prices of 0, 1 or 2 cents in their own group and round this down to 0 cents.

- **Observation 1:** Increasing or decreasing a price by a multiple of 5 cents will always change the final answer by this same amount.
 - We may reduce all prices modulo 5 cents, as long as we add the differences to the final result.

and the second s

Observation 2: It is always optimal to put prices of 0, 1 or 2 cents in their own group and round this down to 0 cents.

Remaining case: All prices are 3 or 4 cents.





Remaining case: All prices are 3 or 4 cents.

Remaining case: All prices are 3 or 4 cents.

Solution: • As long as there is both a price of 3 cents and a price of 4 cents, put them together in a group and round to 5 cents.

Remaining case: All prices are 3 or 4 cents.

- **Solution:** As long as there is both a price of 3 cents and a price of 4 cents, put them together in a group and round to 5 cents.
 - If only prices of 3 cents remain: make as many pairs as possible and round them down to 5 cents. If a single price of 3 cents remains, do not round it.

Remaining case: All prices are 3 or 4 cents.

- **Solution:** As long as there is both a price of 3 cents and a price of 4 cents, put them together in a group and round to 5 cents.
 - If only prices of 3 cents remain: make as many pairs as possible and round them down to 5 cents. If a single price of 3 cents remains, do not round it.
 - If only prices of 4 cents remain: make as many triples as possible and round them down to 10 cents. If one or two prices of 4 cents remain, do not round them.

Remaining case: All prices are 3 or 4 cents.

- **Solution:** As long as there is both a price of 3 cents and a price of 4 cents, put them together in a group and round to 5 cents.
 - If only prices of 3 cents remain: make as many pairs as possible and round them down to 5 cents. If a single price of 3 cents remains, do not round it.
 - If only prices of 4 cents remain: make as many triples as possible and round them down to 10 cents. If one or two prices of 4 cents remain, do not round them.

Running time: $\mathcal{O}(n)$.

Remaining case: All prices are 3 or 4 cents.

- **Solution:** As long as there is both a price of 3 cents and a price of 4 cents, put them together in a group and round to 5 cents.
 - If only prices of 3 cents remain: make as many pairs as possible and round them down to 5 cents. If a single price of 3 cents remains, do not round it.

• If only prices of 4 cents remain: make as many triples as possible and round them down to 10 cents. If one or two prices of 4 cents remain, do not round them.

Running time: $\mathcal{O}(n)$.

Pitfall: Be careful converting between floating point numbers and integers!

- Casting 100*x (which is float) to int is flooring, so add 0.5 or use round().
- Alternatively, skip the decimal point when parsing the input values to int.

Remaining case: All prices are 3 or 4 cents.

- **Solution:** As long as there is both a price of 3 cents and a price of 4 cents, put them together in a group and round to 5 cents.
 - If only prices of 3 cents remain: make as many pairs as possible and round them down to 5 cents. If a single price of 3 cents remains, do not round it.

al a page the second second

• If only prices of 4 cents remain: make as many triples as possible and round them down to 10 cents. If one or two prices of 4 cents remain, do not round them.

Running time: $\mathcal{O}(n)$.

Pitfall: Be careful converting between floating point numbers and integers!

- Casting 100*x (which is float) to int is flooring, so add 0.5 or use round().
- Alternatively, skip the decimal point when parsing the input values to int.

Statistics: 111 submissions, 44 accepted, 10 unknown

Problem: Given query access to a sorted list of integers $o_1, o_2 \dots, o_n$, determine x, y, z that maximize

$$\sqrt{|o_x - o_y|} + \sqrt{|o_y - o_z|} + \sqrt{|o_z - o_x|}.$$

س بلناه بارو

.

Problem: Given query access to a sorted list of integers $o_1, o_2 \dots, o_n$, determine x, y, z that maximize

$$\sqrt{|o_x - o_y|} + \sqrt{|o_y - o_z|} + \sqrt{|o_z - o_x|}.$$

Naive solution: Check all possible triples and compute the maximum. This is $O(n^3)$, which is too slow, but more importantly, there are way too few queries to determine the values of all o_i !

Problem: Given query access to a sorted list of integers $o_1, o_2 \dots, o_n$, determine x, y, z that maximize

$$\sqrt{|o_x - o_y|} + \sqrt{|o_y - o_z|} + \sqrt{|o_z - o_x|}.$$

Observation 1: It is always optimal to include o_1 and o_n . Thus we only need to find y that maximizes

$$\sqrt{|o_1 - o_y|} + \sqrt{|o_y - o_n|} + \sqrt{|o_n - o_1|}.$$

Problem: Given query access to a sorted list of integers $o_1, o_2 \dots, o_n$, determine x, y, z that maximize

$$\sqrt{|o_x - o_y|} + \sqrt{|o_y - o_z|} + \sqrt{|o_z - o_x|}.$$

ىيى بايتا مى_{تۇل}ەت بەت ئەرتە تە^{رتە}

Observation 1: It is always optimal to include o_1 and o_n . Thus we only need to find y that maximizes

$$\sqrt{|o_1 - o_y|} + \sqrt{|o_y - o_n|} + \sqrt{|o_n - o_1|}.$$

Observation 2: The function $\sqrt{|o_1 - o_y|} + \sqrt{|o_y - o_n|}$ is concave and symmetric around $\frac{1}{2}(o_1 + o_n)$. The maximum is attained when o_y is closest to $\frac{1}{2}(o_1 + o_n)$. **Problem:** Given query access to a sorted list of integers $o_1, o_2 \dots, o_n$, determine x, y, z that maximize

$$\sqrt{|o_x - o_y|} + \sqrt{|o_y - o_z|} + \sqrt{|o_z - o_x|}.$$

مىب ىلىلە يېزېتىرىپ ئەينىغانە ي

Observation 1: It is always optimal to include o_1 and o_n . Thus we only need to find y that maximizes

$$\sqrt{|o_1 - o_y|} + \sqrt{|o_y - o_n|} + \sqrt{|o_n - o_1|}.$$

Observation 2: The function $\sqrt{|o_1 - o_y|} + \sqrt{|o_y - o_n|}$ is concave and symmetric around $\frac{1}{2}(o_1 + o_n)$. The maximum is attained when o_y is closest to $\frac{1}{2}(o_1 + o_n)$.

Solution: Query o_1 and o_n , followed by a binary search to find the value o_y closest to $\frac{1}{2}(o_1 + o_n)$.

Problem: Given query access to a sorted list of integers $o_1, o_2 \dots, o_n$, determine x, y, z that maximize

$$\sqrt{|o_x - o_y|} + \sqrt{|o_y - o_z|} + \sqrt{|o_z - o_x|}.$$

يسير بلغاه وإوروب تحضينات

Observation 1: It is always optimal to include o_1 and o_n . Thus we only need to find y that maximizes

$$\sqrt{|o_1 - o_y|} + \sqrt{|o_y - o_n|} + \sqrt{|o_n - o_1|}.$$

Observation 2: The function $\sqrt{|o_1 - o_y|} + \sqrt{|o_y - o_n|}$ is concave and symmetric around $\frac{1}{2}(o_1 + o_n)$. The maximum is attained when o_y is closest to $\frac{1}{2}(o_1 + o_n)$.

Solution: Query o_1 and o_n , followed by a binary search to find the value o_y closest to $\frac{1}{2}(o_1 + o_n)$. **Alternatively:** Find the maximum with ternary search. Need to be somewhat smart with queries to stay within the limit. **Problem:** Given query access to a sorted list of integers $o_1, o_2 \dots, o_n$, determine x, y, z that maximize

$$\sqrt{|o_x - o_y|} + \sqrt{|o_y - o_z|} + \sqrt{|o_z - o_x|}.$$

يسير بلغاه بإروضو تجنعته

Observation 1: It is always optimal to include o_1 and o_n . Thus we only need to find y that maximizes

$$\sqrt{|o_1 - o_y|} + \sqrt{|o_y - o_n|} + \sqrt{|o_n - o_1|}.$$

Observation 2: The function $\sqrt{|o_1 - o_y|} + \sqrt{|o_y - o_n|}$ is concave and symmetric around $\frac{1}{2}(o_1 + o_n)$. The maximum is attained when o_y is closest to $\frac{1}{2}(o_1 + o_n)$.

Solution: Query o_1 and o_n , followed by a binary search to find the value o_y closest to $\frac{1}{2}(o_1 + o_n)$. **Alternatively:** Find the maximum with ternary search. Need to be somewhat smart with queries to stay within the limit.

Pitfall: Make sure to return distinct indices!

Testing tool: There was an issue with the provided testing tool: solutions were compared by computing

$$\sqrt{|o_x - o_y|} + \sqrt{|o_y - o_z|} + \sqrt{|o_z - o_x|}$$

- utile

120200

as a floating-point number.

Your selection has a value of 7.611767402951557, but the optimal value is 7.611767402951558.

Testing tool: There was an issue with the provided testing tool: solutions were compared by computing

$$\sqrt{|o_x - o_y|} + \sqrt{|o_y - o_z|} + \sqrt{|o_z - o_x|}$$

the state and

as a floating-point number.

Your selection has a value of 7.611767402951557, but the optimal value is 7.611767402951558.

Fortunately: Judging does not use floating-point comparisons. Instead, check whether there is a middle index that gives a value closer to $\frac{1}{2}(o_1 + o_n)$.

Testing tool: There was an issue with the provided testing tool: solutions were compared by computing

$$\sqrt{|o_x - o_y|} + \sqrt{|o_y - o_z|} + \sqrt{|o_z - o_x|}$$

The state and

1 IF 166 1

as a floating-point number.

Your selection has a value of 7.611767402951557, but the optimal value is 7.611767402951558.

Fortunately: Judging does not use floating-point comparisons. Instead, check whether there is a middle index that gives a value closer to $\frac{1}{2}(o_1 + o_n)$.

Doing this in the testing tool would have given away a large part of the solution.

Testing tool: There was an issue with the provided testing tool: solutions were compared by computing

$$\sqrt{|o_x - o_y|} + \sqrt{|o_y - o_z|} + \sqrt{|o_z - o_x|}$$

سر بلناه بارو

- **- - - -** - -

as a floating-point number.

Your selection has a value of 7.611767402951557, but the optimal value is 7.611767402951558.

Fortunately: Judging does not use floating-point comparisons. Instead, check whether there is a middle index that gives a value closer to $\frac{1}{2}(o_1 + o_n)$.

Doing this in the testing tool would have given away a large part of the solution.

Statistics: 159 submissions, 34 accepted, 33 unknown

ا هانب بين من يهاي من يوني م

Problem: Pay trips on *n* days $t_i \in \{0, ..., T\}$. The fare for the *i*th trip is f_i . Instead of paying the fare you can use a (multi-ride) *pass*. There are *k* types of pass, the *j*th has cost c_j and lasts for a period of p_i days, during which it covers the first d_j trips.

Problem: Pay trips on *n* days $t_i \in \{0, ..., T\}$. The fare for the *i*th trip is f_i . Instead of paying the fare you can use a (multi-ride) *pass*. There are *k* types of pass, the *j*th has cost c_j and lasts for a period of p_j days, during which it covers the first d_j trips.

First step: Focus on the trip dates t_1, \ldots, t_n (rather than $\{0, \ldots, T\}$). Useful to understand the process 'backwards': "if I pay the *i*th trip (on day t_i) with the *j*th pass, then"
Problem: Pay trips on *n* days $t_i \in \{0, ..., T\}$. The fare for the *i*th trip is f_i . Instead of paying the fare you can use a (multi-ride) pass. There are *k* types of pass, the *j*th has cost c_j and lasts for a period of p_j days, during which it covers the first d_j trips.

First step: Focus on the trip dates t_1, \ldots, t_n (rather than $\{0, \ldots, T\}$). Useful to understand the process 'backwards': "if I pay the *i*th trip (on day t_i) with the *j*th pass, then"

Can assume that today is the *last* travel day covered by the pass, either because period p_i ran out before t_{i+1} or because the pass ran out of days d_i . E.g., for $d_i = 3$:



The index of the first travel day not covered by *j*th pass is therefore the largest $i' \ge 1$ such that $i' \le i - d_j$ or $t_{i'} \le t_i - p_j$.

 $\operatorname{prev}(t) = \max\{i: t_i \leq t\}.$

This can be evaluated in time $\mathcal{O}(\log n)$ by binary search in (t_1, \ldots, t_n) or in constant time with $\mathcal{O}(T)$ preprocessing by tabulating prev(t) for every t.

 $\operatorname{prev}(t) = \max\{i: t_i \leq t\}.$

This can be evaluated in time $\mathcal{O}(\log n)$ by binary search in (t_1, \ldots, t_n) or in constant time with $\mathcal{O}(T)$ preprocessing by tabulating prev(t) for every t.

Recurrence: Let OPT(i) be the optimum cost for the first *i* trips. Then, for i > 0,

$$\mathsf{OPT}(i) = \min \begin{cases} f_i + \mathsf{OPT}(i-1), & (pay regular fare) \\ \min_{1 \le j \le k} \{c_j + \mathsf{OPT}(\max(i - d_j, \mathsf{prev}(t_i - p_j)))\} & (jth \ pass \ expires \ today) \end{cases}$$

 $\operatorname{prev}(t) = \max\{i: t_i \leq t\}.$

This can be evaluated in time $\mathcal{O}(\log n)$ by binary search in (t_1, \ldots, t_n) or in constant time with $\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{T})$ preprocessing by tabulating prev(t) for every t.

Recurrence: Let OPT(i) be the optimum cost for the first *i* trips. Then, for i > 0,

$$\mathsf{OPT}(i) = \min \begin{cases} f_i + \mathsf{OPT}(i-1), & (pay regular fare) \\ \min_{1 \le j \le k} \{c_j + \mathsf{OPT}(\max(i - d_j, \operatorname{prev}(t_i - p_j)))\} & (jth \ pass \ expires \ today) \end{cases}$$

Solution: Implement using dynamic programming / memoization.

 $\operatorname{prev}(t) = \max\{i: t_i \leq t\}.$

This can be evaluated in time $\mathcal{O}(\log n)$ by binary search in (t_1, \ldots, t_n) or in constant time with $\mathcal{O}(T)$ preprocessing by tabulating prev(t) for every t.

Recurrence: Let OPT(i) be the optimum cost for the first *i* trips. Then, for i > 0,

$$\mathsf{OPT}(i) = \min \begin{cases} f_i + \mathsf{OPT}(i-1), & (pay regular fare) \\ \min_{1 \le j \le k} \{c_j + \mathsf{OPT}(\max(i - d_j, \mathsf{prev}(t_i - p_j)))\} & (jth \ pass \ expires \ today) \end{cases}$$

Solution: Implement using dynamic programming / memoization.

Running time: $O(nk \log n)$ or O(nk + T).

 $prev(t) = max\{i: t_i \leq t\}.$

This can be evaluated in time $\mathcal{O}(\log n)$ by binary search in (t_1, \ldots, t_n) or in constant time with $\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{T})$ preprocessing by tabulating prev(t) for every t.

Recurrence: Let OPT(i) be the optimum cost for the first *i* trips. Then, for i > 0,

$$\mathsf{OPT}(i) = \min \begin{cases} f_i + \mathsf{OPT}(i-1), & (pay regular fare) \\ \min_{1 \le j \le k} \{c_j + \mathsf{OPT}(\max(i - d_j, \mathsf{prev}(t_i - p_j)))\} & (jth \ pass \ expires \ today) \end{cases}$$

Solution: Implement using dynamic programming / memoization. **Running time:** $O(nk \log n)$ or O(nk + T).

Statistics: 47 submissions, 20 accepted, 13 unknown



المسطرة العربي وال



فليفرق المريوا

Naive solution: For each step, multiply the success probabilities of all its dependencies, using DFS. $O(n^2)$ is too slow!

فليفرقوا ورجوا

Naive solution: For each step, multiply the success probabilities of all its dependencies, using DFS. $O(n^2)$ is too slow!

Insight 1: Within a strongly connected component, all steps have the same failure probability.

فليفرقوا ورجا

- **Naive solution:** For each step, multiply the success probabilities of all its dependencies, using DFS. $O(n^2)$ is too slow!
 - Insight 1: Within a strongly connected component, all steps have the same failure probability.
 - **Insight 2:** We should look for a SCC without external dependencies. (So a sink in the collapsed graph).

فليفرق المرجاني

- **Naive solution:** For each step, multiply the success probabilities of all its dependencies, using DFS. $O(n^2)$ is too slow!
 - Insight 1: Within a strongly connected component, all steps have the same failure probability.
 - **Insight 2:** We should look for a SCC without external dependencies. (So a sink in the collapsed graph).
 - **Solution:** Use Tarjan's or Kosaraju's algorithm to find strongly connected components.

فليغر أبرا مرجوا يو

- **Naive solution:** For each step, multiply the success probabilities of all its dependencies, using DFS. $O(n^2)$ is too slow!
 - Insight 1: Within a strongly connected component, all steps have the same failure probability.
 - **Insight 2:** We should look for a SCC without external dependencies. (So a sink in the collapsed graph).
 - **Solution:** Use Tarjan's or Kosaraju's algorithm to find strongly connected components.

Solution: For each sink component, compute $\prod (1 - p_i)$, and return the maximum.

فليفرق المرجوا والم

- **Naive solution:** For each step, multiply the success probabilities of all its dependencies, using DFS. $O(n^2)$ is too slow!
 - Insight 1: Within a strongly connected component, all steps have the same failure probability.
 - **Insight 2:** We should look for a SCC without external dependencies. (So a sink in the collapsed graph).
 - **Solution:** Use Tarjan's or Kosaraju's algorithm to find strongly connected components.

Solution: For each sink component, compute $\prod(1-p_i)$, and return the maximum.

Running time: $\mathcal{O}(n)$.

فليطر أبرا ويرجا والرو

- **Naive solution:** For each step, multiply the success probabilities of all its dependencies, using DFS. $O(n^2)$ is too slow!
 - Insight 1: Within a strongly connected component, all steps have the same failure probability.
 - **Insight 2:** We should look for a SCC without external dependencies. (So a sink in the collapsed graph).
 - **Solution:** Use Tarjan's or Kosaraju's algorithm to find strongly connected components.

Solution: For each sink component, compute $\prod (1 - p_i)$, and return the maximum.

Running time: $\mathcal{O}(n)$.

Pitfalls: Do not confuse min and max.

فليفرقنا ويتواجوني

- **Naive solution:** For each step, multiply the success probabilities of all its dependencies, using DFS. $O(n^2)$ is too slow!
 - Insight 1: Within a strongly connected component, all steps have the same failure probability.
 - **Insight 2:** We should look for a SCC without external dependencies. (So a sink in the collapsed graph).
 - **Solution:** Use Tarjan's or Kosaraju's algorithm to find strongly connected components.

Solution: For each sink component, compute $\prod (1 - p_i)$, and return the maximum.

Running time: $\mathcal{O}(n)$.

Pitfalls: Do not confuse min and max.

Pitfalls: Do not confuse p and 1 - p.

فليقرأوا وريجو ويوتع تخت

- **Naive solution:** For each step, multiply the success probabilities of all its dependencies, using DFS. $O(n^2)$ is too slow!
 - Insight 1: Within a strongly connected component, all steps have the same failure probability.
 - **Insight 2:** We should look for a SCC without external dependencies. (So a sink in the collapsed graph).
 - **Solution:** Use Tarjan's or Kosaraju's algorithm to find strongly connected components.

Solution: For each sink component, compute $\prod (1 - p_i)$, and return the maximum.

Running time: $\mathcal{O}(n)$.

Pitfalls: Do not confuse min and max.

Pitfalls: Do not confuse p and 1 - p.

Pitfalls: Print output with sufficient precision (e.g. using setprecision(10)).

فللقالا برواليو باوتو توتع الالفا

- **Naive solution:** For each step, multiply the success probabilities of all its dependencies, using DFS. $O(n^2)$ is too slow!
 - Insight 1: Within a strongly connected component, all steps have the same failure probability.
 - **Insight 2:** We should look for a SCC without external dependencies. (So a sink in the collapsed graph).
 - **Solution:** Use Tarjan's or Kosaraju's algorithm to find strongly connected components.

Solution: For each sink component, compute $\prod (1 - p_i)$, and return the maximum.

Running time: $\mathcal{O}(n)$.

Pitfalls: Do not confuse min and max.

Pitfalls: Do not confuse p and 1 - p.

Pitfalls: Print output with sufficient precision (e.g. using setprecision(10)).

Statistics: 92 submissions, 19 accepted, 36 unknown



المعامياتي المعالم

- **Observations:**
- An elementary BFS does not suffice, since you might exceed the turn limit.
- To determine when to activate the blinkers, you must keep track of the direction of arrival and current blinker state.

مطلوما فيلهج كري

Observations:

- An elementary BFS does not suffice, since you might exceed the turn limit.
- To determine when to activate the blinkers, you must keep track of the direction of arrival and current blinker state.

وموالم والمحيو والمحيو

Solution: Perform a (breadth-first) search on a higher-dimensional space, where each "hypernode" is defined by

 \langle intersection, arrival direction, #activations, blinker state \rangle .

Prune the search if #activations > k.

Observations:

- An elementary BFS does not suffice, since you might exceed the turn limit.
- To determine when to activate the blinkers, you must keep track of the direction of arrival and current blinker state.

مطلوها والهواب المحالي

Solution: Perform a (breadth-first) search on a higher-dimensional space, where each "hypernode" is defined by

 $\langle intersection, arrival direction, #activations, blinker state \rangle$.

Prune the search if #activations > k.

Running time: With $n \cdot 4 \cdot k \cdot 3$ hypernodes and each node having $\mathcal{O}(1)$ edges, BFS takes $\mathcal{O}(kn)$ time. Dijkstra with running time $\mathcal{O}(kn \log n)$ is accepted, but not necessary.

Observations:

- An elementary BFS does not suffice, since you might exceed the turn limit.
- To determine when to activate the blinkers, you must keep track of the direction of arrival and current blinker state.

والمعام الإيهار كريا

Solution: Perform a (breadth-first) search on a higher-dimensional space, where each "hypernode" is defined by

 \langle intersection, arrival direction, #activations, blinker state \rangle .

Prune the search if #activations > k.

Running time: With $n \cdot 4 \cdot k \cdot 3$ hypernodes and each node having $\mathcal{O}(1)$ edges, BFS takes $\mathcal{O}(kn)$ time. Dijkstra with running time $\mathcal{O}(kn \log n)$ is accepted, but not necessary.

Statistics: 49 submissions, 9 accepted, 25 unknown



مطاوما والهيز المحالي

CPU time

CPU time



مطاوما والهيز المحالي



مطامعاتها ويهد المح

Only 0.032 seconds to spare!

Problem author: Jorke de Vlas

Problem: Compress a string *s* by replacing all occurrences of a chosen substring by a single character, minimizing the total length of the compressed string and the replaced substring.

Problem author: Jorke de Vlas

Problem: Compress a string *s* by replacing all occurrences of a chosen substring by a single character, minimizing the total length of the compressed string and the replaced substring.

Naive solution: For every substring, count the non-overlapping occurrences in *s*. Running time: $\mathcal{O}(n^4)$, or $\mathcal{O}(n^3)$ with KMP.

Problem: Compress a string *s* by replacing all occurrences of a chosen substring by a single character, minimizing the total length of the compressed string and the replaced

the second s

substring.

- **Naive solution:** For every substring, count the non-overlapping occurrences in *s*. Running time: $\mathcal{O}(n^4)$, or $\mathcal{O}(n^3)$ with KMP.
- Actual solution: Use rolling hashes to quickly count the occurrences of every substring.
 - Define $H(c_i c_{i+1} \dots c_j) = c_i b^0 + c_{i+1} b^1 + \dots + c_j b^{j-i} \mod M$, where we identify every character with an integer and b and M are fixed integers.
 - Note that H(c_ic_{i+1}...c_j) = H(c_ic_{i+1}...c_{j-1}) + c_jb^{j-i} = c₀ + H(c₁...c_j) · b mod M, using which we can compute all hashes in O(n²) time.
 - Walk through the string, for every hash keeping track of its last occurrence and the number of non-overlapping occurrences found so far. This is also $O(n^2)$.

- **Problem:** Compress a string *s* by replacing all occurrences of a chosen substring by a single character, minimizing the total length of the compressed string and the replaced substring.
- **Naive solution:** For every substring, count the non-overlapping occurrences in *s*. Running time: $\mathcal{O}(n^4)$, or $\mathcal{O}(n^3)$ with KMP.
- Actual solution: Use rolling hashes to quickly count the occurrences of every substring.
 - Define $H(c_i c_{i+1} \dots c_j) = c_i b^0 + c_{i+1} b^1 + \dots + c_j b^{j-i} \mod M$, where we identify every character with an integer and b and M are fixed integers.

the second s

- Note that H(c_ic_{i+1}...c_j) = H(c_ic_{i+1}...c_{j-1}) + c_jb^{j-i} = c₀ + H(c₁...c_j) ⋅ b mod M, using which we can compute all hashes in O(n²) time.
- Walk through the string, for every hash keeping track of its last occurrence and the number of non-overlapping occurrences found so far. This is also $O(n^2)$.
- **Pitfall:** Hash collisions. There are $> 10^7$ substrings, so if *M* has less than 14 digits you will get collisions (birthday paradox).

- **Problem:** Compress a string *s* by replacing all occurrences of a chosen substring by a single character, minimizing the total length of the compressed string and the replaced substring.
- **Naive solution:** For every substring, count the non-overlapping occurrences in *s*. Running time: $\mathcal{O}(n^4)$, or $\mathcal{O}(n^3)$ with KMP.
- Actual solution: Use rolling hashes to quickly count the occurrences of every substring.
 - Define $H(c_i c_{i+1} \dots c_j) = c_i b^0 + c_{i+1} b^1 + \dots + c_j b^{j-i} \mod M$, where we identify every character with an integer and b and M are fixed integers.

والمستحد ومتعاطيه والم

- Note that H(c_ic_{i+1}...c_j) = H(c_ic_{i+1}...c_{j-1}) + c_jb^{j-i} = c₀ + H(c₁...c_j) ⋅ b mod M, using which we can compute all hashes in O(n²) time.
- Walk through the string, for every hash keeping track of its last occurrence and the number of non-overlapping occurrences found so far. This is also $O(n^2)$.
- **Pitfall:** Hash collisions. There are $> 10^7$ substrings, so if *M* has less than 14 digits you will get collisions (birthday paradox).
- Note: There are also solutions using DP, divide and conquer, suffix arrays, or the z-function.

Problem: Compress a string s by replacing all occurrences of a chosen substring by a single

a second a second s

- character, minimizing the total length of the compressed string and the replaced substring.
- **Naive solution:** For every substring, count the non-overlapping occurrences in *s*. Running time: $\mathcal{O}(n^4)$, or $\mathcal{O}(n^3)$ with KMP.
- Actual solution: Use rolling hashes to quickly count the occurrences of every substring.
 - Define $H(c_i c_{i+1} \dots c_j) = c_i b^0 + c_{i+1} b^1 + \dots + c_j b^{j-i} \mod M$, where we identify every character with an integer and b and M are fixed integers.
 - Note that $H(c_i c_{i+1} \dots c_j) = H(c_i c_{i+1} \dots c_{j-1}) + c_j b^{j-i} = c_0 + H(c_1 \dots c_j) \cdot b$ mod M, using which we can compute all hashes in $\mathcal{O}(n^2)$ time.
 - Walk through the string, for every hash keeping track of its last occurrence and the number of non-overlapping occurrences found so far. This is also $O(n^2)$.
 - **Pitfall:** Hash collisions. There are $> 10^7$ substrings, so if *M* has less than 14 digits you will get collisions (birthday paradox).

Note: There are also solutions using DP, divide and conquer, suffix arrays, or the z-function.

Statistics: 88 submissions, 7 accepted, 43 unknown



Problem: Given intervals and costs associated with each integer, find the minimum cost of a subset of integers that hits all intervals.

<u>. d. 1.11..</u>

Problem: Given intervals and costs associated with each integer, find the minimum cost of a subset of integers that hits all intervals.

.

Observation: If one interval is contained in another one, we can ignore the larger interval, as it will always be hit when the smaller one is.

Problem author: Tobias Roehr

Problem: Given intervals and costs associated with each integer, find the minimum cost of a subset of integers that hits all intervals.

- **Observation:** If one interval is contained in another one, we can ignore the larger interval, as it will always be hit when the smaller one is.
 - **Solution:** Dynamic programming! Let dp[i] be the minimum cost of a set that includes *i* and hits all intervals ending before *i*.

Problem author: Tobias Roehr

Problem: Given intervals and costs associated with each integer, find the minimum cost of a subset of integers that hits all intervals.

a da Lallea

- **Observation:** If one interval is contained in another one, we can ignore the larger interval, as it will always be hit when the smaller one is.
 - **Solution:** Dynamic programming! Let dp[i] be the minimum cost of a set that includes *i* and hits all intervals ending before *i*.
- **Recurrence:** $dp[i] = cost[i] + min_{j \in [k_i, i)} dp[j]$ with k_i being the largest startpoint of an interval ending before *i*. The answer is dp[n + 1].

Problem author: Tobias Roehr

Problem: Given intervals and costs associated with each integer, find the minimum cost of a subset of integers that hits all intervals.

a da Lallea

- **Observation:** If one interval is contained in another one, we can ignore the larger interval, as it will always be hit when the smaller one is.
 - **Solution:** Dynamic programming! Let dp[i] be the minimum cost of a set that includes *i* and hits all intervals ending before *i*.
- **Recurrence:** $dp[i] = cost[i] + min_{j \in [k_i,i]} dp[j]$ with k_i being the largest startpoint of an interval ending before *i*. The answer is dp[n + 1].

Observation: we can find these k_i by sorting the intervals.
Problem: Given intervals and costs associated with each integer, find the minimum cost of a subset of integers that hits all intervals.

a da Lallea

- **Observation:** If one interval is contained in another one, we can ignore the larger interval, as it will always be hit when the smaller one is.
 - **Solution:** Dynamic programming! Let dp[i] be the minimum cost of a set that includes *i* and hits all intervals ending before *i*.
- **Recurrence:** $dp[i] = cost[i] + min_{j \in [k_i,i]} dp[j]$ with k_i being the largest startpoint of an interval ending before *i*. The answer is dp[n + 1].

Observation: we can find these k_i by sorting the intervals.

Running time: $\mathcal{O}(n^2)$, which is too slow.

Problem: Given intervals and costs associated with each integer, find the minimum cost of a subset of integers that hits all intervals.

a da lallea

- **Observation:** If one interval is contained in another one, we can ignore the larger interval, as it will always be hit when the smaller one is.
 - **Solution:** Dynamic programming! Let dp[i] be the minimum cost of a set that includes *i* and hits all intervals ending before *i*.
- **Recurrence:** $dp[i] = cost[i] + min_{j \in [k_i,i]} dp[j]$ with k_i being the largest startpoint of an interval ending before *i*. The answer is dp[n + 1].

Observation: we can find these k_i by sorting the intervals.

Running time: $\mathcal{O}(n^2)$, which is too slow.

Faster Solution: Use a segment tree to maintain the DP values.

Problem: Given intervals and costs associated with each integer, find the minimum cost of a subset of integers that hits all intervals.

a da lallea

- **Observation:** If one interval is contained in another one, we can ignore the larger interval, as it will always be hit when the smaller one is.
 - **Solution:** Dynamic programming! Let dp[i] be the minimum cost of a set that includes *i* and hits all intervals ending before *i*.
- **Recurrence:** $dp[i] = cost[i] + min_{j \in [k_i,i]} dp[j]$ with k_i being the largest startpoint of an interval ending before *i*. The answer is dp[n + 1].

Observation: we can find these k_i by sorting the intervals.

Running time: $\mathcal{O}(n^2)$, which is too slow.

Faster Solution: Use a segment tree to maintain the DP values.

Running time: $O(n \log n)$, which is fast enough!

Problem: Given intervals and costs associated with each integer, find the minimum cost of a subset of integers that hits all intervals.

a da lallea

- **Observation:** If one interval is contained in another one, we can ignore the larger interval, as it will always be hit when the smaller one is.
 - **Solution:** Dynamic programming! Let dp[i] be the minimum cost of a set that includes *i* and hits all intervals ending before *i*.
- **Recurrence:** $dp[i] = cost[i] + min_{j \in [k_i,i]} dp[j]$ with k_i being the largest startpoint of an interval ending before *i*. The answer is dp[n + 1].

Observation: we can find these k_i by sorting the intervals.

Running time: $\mathcal{O}(n^2)$, which is too slow.

Faster Solution: Use a segment tree to maintain the DP values.

Running time: $O(n \log n)$, which is fast enough!

Easier Solution: Use a heap to maintain the DP values.

Problem: Given intervals and costs associated with each integer, find the minimum cost of a subset of integers that hits all intervals.

a da lallea

- **Observation:** If one interval is contained in another one, we can ignore the larger interval, as it will always be hit when the smaller one is.
 - **Solution:** Dynamic programming! Let dp[i] be the minimum cost of a set that includes *i* and hits all intervals ending before *i*.
- **Recurrence:** $dp[i] = cost[i] + min_{j \in [k_i,i]} dp[j]$ with k_i being the largest startpoint of an interval ending before *i*. The answer is dp[n + 1].

Observation: we can find these k_i by sorting the intervals.

Running time: $\mathcal{O}(n^2)$, which is too slow.

Faster Solution: Use a segment tree to maintain the DP values.

Running time: $O(n \log n)$, which is fast enough!

Easier Solution: Use a heap to maintain the DP values.

Fastest Solution: Use a deque to maintain the pareto front of (i, dp[i]).

Problem: Given intervals and costs associated with each integer, find the minimum cost of a subset of integers that hits all intervals.

a da lallea

- **Observation:** If one interval is contained in another one, we can ignore the larger interval, as it will always be hit when the smaller one is.
 - **Solution:** Dynamic programming! Let dp[i] be the minimum cost of a set that includes *i* and hits all intervals ending before *i*.
- **Recurrence:** $dp[i] = cost[i] + min_{j \in [k_i,i]} dp[j]$ with k_i being the largest startpoint of an interval ending before *i*. The answer is dp[n + 1].

Observation: we can find these k_i by sorting the intervals.

Running time: $\mathcal{O}(n^2)$, which is too slow.

Faster Solution: Use a segment tree to maintain the DP values.

Running time: $O(n \log n)$, which is fast enough!

Easier Solution: Use a heap to maintain the DP values.

Fastest Solution: Use a deque to maintain the pareto front of (i, dp[i]).

Running time: $\mathcal{O}(n)$ using bucket sort.

Pitfall: Use sufficiently large integers.

- int sol = 2e9;
- + int sol = (1LL << 55);

using namespace std; +#define int long long typedef long long ll;

<u>, d , I ,I I , ,</u>

Pitfall: Use sufficiently large integers.

- int sol = 2e9;
- + int sol = (1LL << 55);

using namespace std; +#define int long long typedef long long ll;

ada babbaa

Statistics: 26 submissions, 5 accepted, 14 unknown

Problem: Sort contestants into contests such that no-one wants to switch.

Problem: Sort contestants into contests such that no-one wants to switch.

Solution: A greedy solution works:

- 1. Sort all contestants by their skill in descending order.
- 2. Put each contestant in the contest with the highest expected value for them.
- 3. When done, the resulting distribution of people is such an optimal distribution.

Problem: Sort contestants into contests such that no-one wants to switch.

Solution: A greedy solution works:

- 1. Sort all contestants by their skill in descending order.
- 2. Put each contestant in the contest with the highest expected value for them.
- 3. When done, the resulting distribution of people is such an optimal distribution.
- **Proof sketch:** Given a solution, if a person wants to switch, everyone with lower skill also wants to. If someone wants to switch at the end, a contestant with higher skill would have picked a different contest. They didn't, so this must be optimal.

Problem: Sort contestants into contests such that no-one wants to switch.

Solution: A greedy solution works:

- 1. Sort all contestants by their skill in descending order.
- 2. Put each contestant in the contest with the highest expected value for them.
- 3. When done, the resulting distribution of people is such an optimal distribution.

Proof sketch: Given a solution, if a person wants to switch, everyone with lower skill also wants to. If someone wants to switch at the end, a contestant with higher skill would have picked a different contest. They didn't, so this must be optimal.

Pitfall: Floating point numbers: The expected value of joining a contest is given by

prize · skill

total skill in contest

Comparing these values naively will lead to floating point errors. Instead, use

 $a/b < c/d \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad a \cdot d < c \cdot b.$

Problem: Sort contestants into contests such that no-one wants to switch.

Solution: A greedy solution works:

- 1. Sort all contestants by their skill in descending order.
- 2. Put each contestant in the contest with the highest expected value for them.
- 3. When done, the resulting distribution of people is such an optimal distribution.

Proof sketch: Given a solution, if a person wants to switch, everyone with lower skill also wants to. If someone wants to switch at the end, a contestant with higher skill would have picked a different contest. They didn't, so this must be optimal.

Pitfall: Floating point numbers: The expected value of joining a contest is given by

prize · skill

total skill in contest

Comparing these values naively will lead to floating point errors. Instead, use

 $a/b < c/d \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad a \cdot d < c \cdot b.$

Running time: $\mathcal{O}(nm)$.

Problem: Sort contestants into contests such that no-one wants to switch.

Solution: A greedy solution works:

- 1. Sort all contestants by their skill in descending order.
- 2. Put each contestant in the contest with the highest expected value for them.
- 3. When done, the resulting distribution of people is such an optimal distribution.

Proof sketch: Given a solution, if a person wants to switch, everyone with lower skill also wants to. If someone wants to switch at the end, a contestant with higher skill would have picked a different contest. They didn't, so this must be optimal.

Pitfall: Floating point numbers: The expected value of joining a contest is given by

prize · skill

total skill in contest

Comparing these values naively will lead to floating point errors. Instead, use

 $a/b < c/d \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad a \cdot d < c \cdot b.$

Running time: $\mathcal{O}(nm)$.

"Brute force": Alternatively you could repeatedly move people to better spots if you were fast enough.

Problem: Sort contestants into contests such that no-one wants to switch.

Solution: A greedy solution works:

- 1. Sort all contestants by their skill in descending order.
- 2. Put each contestant in the contest with the highest expected value for them.
- 3. When done, the resulting distribution of people is such an optimal distribution.

Proof sketch: Given a solution, if a person wants to switch, everyone with lower skill also wants to. If someone wants to switch at the end, a contestant with higher skill would have picked a different contest. They didn't, so this must be optimal.

Pitfall: Floating point numbers: The expected value of joining a contest is given by

prize · skill

total skill in contest

Comparing these values naively will lead to floating point errors. Instead, use

 $a/b < c/d \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad a \cdot d < c \cdot b.$

Running time: $\mathcal{O}(nm)$.

"Brute force": Alternatively you could repeatedly move people to better spots if you were fast enough.

Statistics: 20 submissions, 4 accepted, 13 unknown



Observation: Going from top to bottom, we can determine for every square (i, j) the distance d(i, j) to the first '#' above it, assuming out of bounds is '#'. Then a $w \times h$ rectangle fits somewhere if and only if the bottom row consists only of values that are at least h.

Observation: Going from top to bottom, we can determine for every square (i, j) the distance d(i, j) to the first '#' above it, assuming out of bounds is '#'. Then a $w \times h$ rectangle fits somewhere if and only if the bottom row consists only of values that are at least h.

Solution: For each square (i, j) determine for each $k \ge j$ the smallest value v of d(i, t) for t = j, ..., k and report a $(k - j + 1) \times v$ rectangle. The number of $w \times h$ rectangles is then the total number of reported $w \times v$ rectangles for $v \ge h$.

Observation: Going from top to bottom, we can determine for every square (i, j) the distance d(i, j) to the first '#' above it, assuming out of bounds is '#'. Then a $w \times h$ rectangle fits somewhere if and only if the bottom row consists only of values that are at least h.

Solution: For each square (i, j) determine for each $k \ge j$ the smallest value v of d(i, t) for t = j, ..., k and report a $(k - j + 1) \times v$ rectangle. The number of $w \times h$ rectangles is then the total number of reported $w \times v$ rectangles for $v \ge h$.

Running time: For n = rc: $\mathcal{O}(rc^2) = \mathcal{O}(n\sqrt{n})$ after possibly transposing to make sure $c \leq r$. Too slow!



Task: In order to do this efficiently, we need to keep track of the total number of maximal intervals of all possible lengths.

- **Task:** In order to do this efficiently, we need to keep track of the total number of maximal intervals of all possible lengths.
- **Solution:** For each row we can keep track for each boundary of a maximal interval the other boundary of that interval, or itself if the interval is of length one. For each new square we can initialize a new interval of length one and then potentially merge with the intervals on the left and right if they exist. Consider the test case below:

- **Task:** In order to do this efficiently, we need to keep track of the total number of maximal intervals of all possible lengths.
- **Solution:** For each row we can keep track for each boundary of a maximal interval the other boundary of that interval, or itself if the interval is of length one. For each new square we can initialize a new interval of length one and then potentially merge with the intervals on the left and right if they exist. Consider the test case below:

###						
##.	0	3	3	1	2	h = 3
#						

- **Task:** In order to do this efficiently, we need to keep track of the total number of maximal intervals of all possible lengths.
- **Solution:** For each row we can keep track for each boundary of a maximal interval the other boundary of that interval, or itself if the interval is of length one. For each new square we can initialize a new interval of length one and then potentially merge with the intervals on the left and right if they exist. Consider the test case below:

###						
##.	0	3	3	1	2	h = 2
#						

- **Task:** In order to do this efficiently, we need to keep track of the total number of maximal intervals of all possible lengths.
- **Solution:** For each row we can keep track for each boundary of a maximal interval the other boundary of that interval, or itself if the interval is of length one. For each new square we can initialize a new interval of length one and then potentially merge with the intervals on the left and right if they exist. Consider the test case below:

###						
##.	0	3	3	1	2	h=1
#						



Solved: We can keep track of the total number of maximal intervals of all possible lengths.

Solved: We can keep track of the total number of maximal intervals of all possible lengths.

Calculation: After adding all squares with values of at least *h*, let I_w be the number of maximal intervals of length *w*. The total number of $w \times h$ rectangles can then be calculated as $R_w = I_w + 2I_{w+1} + \dots$

Solved: We can keep track of the total number of maximal intervals of all possible lengths.

Calculation: After adding all squares with values of at least *h*, let I_w be the number of maximal intervals of length *w*. The total number of $w \times h$ rectangles can then be calculated as $R_w = I_w + 2I_{w+1} + \dots$

Finish: Introducing the value $C_w = I_w + I_{w+1} + ...$ we get $C_w = I_w + C_{w+1}$ and $R_w = C_w + R_{w+1}$. We can thus calculate C_w and R_w recursively for all h.

11 A

Solved: We can keep track of the total number of maximal intervals of all possible lengths.

Calculation: After adding all squares with values of at least *h*, let I_w be the number of maximal intervals of length *w*. The total number of $w \times h$ rectangles can then be calculated as $R_w = I_w + 2I_{w+1} + \dots$

Finish: Introducing the value $C_w = I_w + I_{w+1} + ...$ we get $C_w = I_w + C_{w+1}$ and $R_w = C_w + R_{w+1}$. We can thus calculate C_w and R_w recursively for all h.

Running time: Linear time: $\mathcal{O}(rc)$

Solved: We can keep track of the total number of maximal intervals of all possible lengths.

Calculation: After adding all squares with values of at least *h*, let I_w be the number of maximal intervals of length *w*. The total number of $w \times h$ rectangles can then be calculated as $R_w = I_w + 2I_{w+1} + \dots$

Finish: Introducing the value $C_w = I_w + I_{w+1} + ...$ we get $C_w = I_w + C_{w+1}$ and $R_w = C_w + R_{w+1}$. We can thus calculate C_w and R_w recursively for all h.

Running time: Linear time: O(rc)

Statistics: 29 submissions, 2 accepted, 21 unknown

 \square

Problem: Given a table number *t* and menu item *m*, determine which pinned-up tickets must be flipped to prove the following claim:

 \forall pinned-up tickets "Ld" : $(d = t) \rightarrow (L = m)$.

Problem: Given a table number *t* and menu item *m*, determine which pinned-up tickets must be flipped to prove the following claim:

 \forall pinned-up tickets "Ld" : $(d = t) \rightarrow (L = m)$.

Observation: The claim is false if and only if

 \exists pinned-up ticket "Ld" : $(d = t) \land (L \neq m)$.

Let's call such tickets *illegal*.



Claim: \forall pinned-up tickets "Ld" : $(d = t) \rightarrow (L = m)$. **Definition:** A ticket "Ld" is *illegal* if $(d = t) \land (L \neq m)$.



Claim: \forall pinned-up tickets "Ld" : $(d = t) \rightarrow (L = m)$.

Definition: A ticket "Ld" is *illegal* if $(d = t) \land (L \neq m)$.

- **Solution:** 1. *Partition* the computer tickets into sets of legal and illegal tickets. If there are no illegal tickets, we can immediately return "true".
 - 2. Compute a *bipartite matching* between the legal tickets and the pinboard. If this is impossible, the claim is guaranteed to be "false".
 - 3. Now, a matching exists, but any legal ticket on the board could be replaced by an illegal one if the upright side is the same. So, a ticket "x" must be *flipped* if:
 - *x* = *t*, or
 - there exists an illegal ticket with menu item x.



Claim: \forall pinned-up tickets "Ld" : $(d = t) \rightarrow (L = m)$.

Definition: A ticket "Ld" is *illegal* if $(d = t) \land (L \neq m)$.

- **Solution:** 1. *Partition* the computer tickets into sets of legal and illegal tickets. If there are no illegal tickets, we can immediately return "true".
 - 2. Compute a *bipartite matching* between the legal tickets and the pinboard. If this is impossible, the claim is guaranteed to be "false".
 - 3. Now, a matching exists, but any legal ticket on the board could be replaced by an illegal one if the upright side is the same. So, a ticket "x" must be *flipped* if:
 - *x* = *t*, or
 - there exists an illegal ticket with menu item x.

Running time: Only step 2 is costly. An $\mathcal{O}(n^3)$ flow-based algorithm suffices.



Claim: \forall pinned-up tickets "Ld" : $(d = t) \rightarrow (L = m)$.

Definition: A ticket "Ld" is *illegal* if $(d = t) \land (L \neq m)$.

- **Solution:** 1. *Partition* the computer tickets into sets of legal and illegal tickets. If there are no illegal tickets, we can immediately return "true".
 - 2. Compute a *bipartite matching* between the legal tickets and the pinboard. If this is impossible, the claim is guaranteed to be "false".
 - 3. Now, a matching exists, but any legal ticket on the board could be replaced by an illegal one if the upright side is the same. So, a ticket "x" must be *flipped* if:
 - *x* = *t*, or
 - there exists an illegal ticket with menu item x.

Running time: Only step 2 is costly. An $\mathcal{O}(n^3)$ flow-based algorithm suffices.

Statistics: 3 submissions, 0 accepted, 3 unknown
. .



. .



• • • • • •

السريل المرار

. .



. .



. .



. .



. .



· eren dalt -d.

. .

Simplification: Consider the procedure in reverse.



· rendeli

. .

Simplification: Consider the procedure in reverse.



· rendeli

Simplification: Consider the procedure in reverse.

Problem: Given *n* integers with average *A*, repeatedly **delete** an element left or right such that the average is always $\leq A$.



Simplification: Consider the procedure in reverse.

Problem: Given *n* integers with average *A*, repeatedly **delete** an element left or right such that the average is always $\leq A$.



Simplification: Consider the procedure in reverse.

Problem: Given *n* integers with average *A*, repeatedly **delete** an element left or right such that the average is always $\leq A$.



Simplification: Consider the procedure in reverse.

Problem: Given *n* integers with average *A*, repeatedly **delete** an element left or right such that the average is always $\leq A$.



.....

Simplification: Consider the procedure in reverse.

Problem: Given *n* integers with average *A*, repeatedly **delete** an element left or right such that the average is always $\leq A$.



Simplification: Consider the procedure in reverse.

Problem: Given *n* integers with average *A*, repeatedly **delete** an element left or right such that the average is always $\leq A$.



• • • • • •

Simplification: Consider the procedure in reverse.

Problem: Given *n* integers with average *A*, repeatedly **delete** an element left or right such that the average is always $\leq A$.



Simplification: Consider the procedure in reverse.

Problem: Given *n* integers with average *A*, repeatedly **delete** an element left or right such that the average is always $\leq A$.



Simplification: Consider the procedure in reverse.

Problem: Given *n* integers with average *A*, repeatedly **delete** an element left or right such that the average is always $\leq A$.

Simplification: Subtract *A* from each element.



.....

Simplification: Consider the procedure in reverse.

Problem: Given *n* integers with average *A*, repeatedly **delete** an element left or right such that the average is always $\leq A$.

Simplification: Subtract A from each element.

Problem: Given *n* integers, repeatedly delete elements left or right such that the sum is always ≤ 0 .



• • • • • •





. .

Definition: A valid prefix is a prefix such that when deleted one by one, the sum in the remaining array is always ≤ 0 .



· remain

- **Definition:** A *valid* prefix is a prefix such that when deleted one by one, the sum in the remaining array is always ≤ 0 .
 - **Insight:** Deleting the *shortest* valid non-negative prefix or suffix "never hurts", i.e., is optimal.
 - **Intuition:** After taking a prefix with positive sum, we can take every suffix we could have taken before.



- **Definition:** A *valid* prefix is a prefix such that when deleted one by one, the sum in the remaining array is always ≤ 0 .
 - **Insight:** Deleting the *shortest* valid non-negative prefix or suffix "never hurts", i.e., is optimal.
 - **Intuition:** After taking a prefix with positive sum, we can take every suffix we could have taken before.



- **Definition:** A *valid* prefix is a prefix such that when deleted one by one, the sum in the remaining array is always ≤ 0 .
 - **Insight:** Deleting the *shortest* valid non-negative prefix or suffix "never hurts", i.e., is optimal.
 - **Intuition:** After taking a prefix with positive sum, we can take every suffix we could have taken before.



· P. Providella - 4

- **Definition:** A *valid* prefix is a prefix such that when deleted one by one, the sum in the remaining array is always ≤ 0 .
 - **Insight:** Deleting the *shortest* valid non-negative prefix or suffix "never hurts", i.e., is optimal.
 - **Intuition:** After taking a prefix with positive sum, we can take every suffix we could have taken before.



· remain

· eren dala -d.

_4

- **Definition:** A *valid* prefix is a prefix such that when deleted one by one, the sum in the remaining array is always ≤ 0 .
 - **Insight:** Deleting the *shortest* valid non-negative prefix or suffix "never hurts", i.e., is optimal.
 - **Intuition:** After taking a prefix with positive sum, we can take every suffix we could have taken before.

· reneration

- **Definition:** A *valid* prefix is a prefix such that when deleted one by one, the sum in the remaining array is always ≤ 0 .
 - **Insight:** Deleting the *shortest* valid non-negative prefix or suffix "never hurts", i.e., is optimal.
 - **Intuition:** After taking a prefix with positive sum, we can take every suffix we could have taken before.

· eren dala -d.

- **Definition:** A *valid* prefix is a prefix such that when deleted one by one, the sum in the remaining array is always ≤ 0 .
 - **Insight:** Deleting the *shortest* valid non-negative prefix or suffix "never hurts", i.e., is optimal.
 - **Intuition:** After taking a prefix with positive sum, we can take every suffix we could have taken before.
 - **Solution:** Repeatedly delete the shortest valid non-negative prefix until none remain, then do the same with suffixes, alternating between them. If no such prefix or suffix exists at some point, the answer is "impossible".

· eren dala -d.

- **Definition:** A *valid* prefix is a prefix such that when deleted one by one, the sum in the remaining array is always ≤ 0 .
 - **Insight:** Deleting the *shortest* valid non-negative prefix or suffix "never hurts", i.e., is optimal.
 - **Intuition:** After taking a prefix with positive sum, we can take every suffix we could have taken before.
- **Solution:** Repeatedly delete the shortest valid non-negative prefix until none remain, then do the same with suffixes, alternating between them. If no such prefix or suffix exists at some point, the answer is "impossible".

Complexity: O(n).

· eren dala -d.

- **Definition:** A *valid* prefix is a prefix such that when deleted one by one, the sum in the remaining array is always ≤ 0 .
 - **Insight:** Deleting the *shortest* valid non-negative prefix or suffix "never hurts", i.e., is optimal.
 - **Intuition:** After taking a prefix with positive sum, we can take every suffix we could have taken before.
- **Solution:** Repeatedly delete the shortest valid non-negative prefix until none remain, then do the same with suffixes, alternating between them. If no such prefix or suffix exists at some point, the answer is "impossible".

Complexity: O(n).

Pitfall: Floating point imprecision. Use integers or resort to fractions. Statistics: 54 submissions, 0 accepted, 31 unknown

Language stats



Jury work

• 1138 commits, of which 630 for the main contest (last year: 1061/564)

Random facts

Jury work

- 1138 commits, of which 630 for the main contest (last year: 1061/564)
- 1192 secret test cases (last year: 1358) (\approx 91.7 per problem!)

Jury work

- 1138 commits, of which 630 for the main contest (last year: 1061/564)
- 1192 secret test cases (last year: 1358) (\approx 91.7 per problem!)
- The CI job for Horse Habitat costs 45 minutes, or ${\sim}{\in}0.01$ worth of heating Ragnar's apartment

Random facts

Jury work

- 1138 commits, of which 630 for the main contest (last year: 1061/564)
- 1192 secret test cases (last year: 1358) (\approx 91.7 per problem!)
- The CI job for Horse Habitat costs 45 minutes, or ~ ${\in}0.01$ worth of heating Ragnar's apartment
- 273 jury + proofreader solutions (last year: 196)

Jury work

- 1138 commits, of which 630 for the main contest (last year: 1061/564)
- 1192 secret test cases (last year: 1358) (\approx 91.7 per problem!)
- The CI job for Horse Habitat costs 45 minutes, or ${\sim}{\in}0.01$ worth of heating Ragnar's apartment
- 273 jury + proofreader solutions (last year: 196)
- The minimum¹ number of lines the jury needed to solve all problems is

3 + 10 + 7 + 16 + 3 + 23 + 3 + 16 + 7 + 1 + 2 + 13 + 11 = 115

On average, 8.8 lines per problem (7.0 in BAPC 2023, 14.1 in preliminaries 2024)
print("yneos"[any(sorted(t) ≠ list(t) for t in zip(*(map(int, input().split()) for _ in range(int(input()))))::2])

print("yneos"[any(sorted(t) = list(t) for t in zip(*(map(int, input().split()) for _ in range(int(input()))))::2])

And so is this submission for Grocery Greed...

```
A = input() and input().split()
a, b, c, d = [sum(int(x[-1]) % 5 == i for x in A) for i in range(1, 5)]
print(f'{sum(map(float, A)) - (a + 2 * b + 2 * (r := min(c, d)) + (c - r) // 2 + (d - r) // 3 * 2) / 100:.2f}')
```

print("yneos"[any(sorted(t) ≠ list(t) for t in zip(*(map(int, input().split()) for _ in range(int(input()))))::2])

And so is this submission for Grocery Greed...

```
A = input() and input().split()
a, b, c, d = [sum(int(x[-1]) % 5 == i for x in A) for i in range(1, 5)]
print(f'{sum(map(float, A)) - (a + 2 * b + 2 * (r := min(c, d)) + (c - r) // 2 + (d - r) // 3 * 2) / 100:.2f}')
```

And this one for Karaoke Compression...

b, a, r, m, f, e = (n := len(s := input() + '\$#')), sorted(s[i:] for i in range(len(s))), range, min, next, enumerate print(m(1 + b, m((o := f(j for j in r(n) if a[i - 1][j] \neq c[j])) + n - (o - 1) * s.count(c[:o]) for i, c in e(a))) - 2)

print("yneos"[any(sorted(t) ≠ list(t) for t in zip(*(map(int, input().split()) for _ in range(int(input()))))::2])

And so is this submission for Grocery Greed...

```
A = input() and input().split()
a, b, c, d = [sum(int(x[-1]) % 5 == i for x in A) for i in range(1, 5)]
print(f'{sum(map(float, A)) - (a + 2 * b + 2 * (r := min(c, d)) + (c - r) // 2 + (d - r) // 3 * 2) / 100:.2f}')
```

And this one for Karaoke Compression...

b, a, r, m, f, e = (n := len(s := input() + '\$#')), sorted(s[i:] for i in range(len(s))), range, min, next, enumerate print(m(1 + b, m((o := f(j for j in r(n) if a[i - 1][j] \neq c[j])) + n - (o - 1) * s.count(c[:o]) for i, c in e(a))) - 2)

But this one-liner for Extraterrestrial Exploration is not.

print('!',1,(n:=int(input())),[(u:=_import_('functools').reduce(lambda x,y:[x[0],sum(x)//2,x[1]][2*q(sum(x)//2)<v:][:2],'.*20,(2,n-1,(q:=lambda x:int(input('? %i\n'%x)))and 0,0*(v:=q(1)+q(n)))[1]),u-1][q(u-1)+q(u)>v])

Thanks to:

The proofreaders

Arnoud van der Leer Jaap Eldering Jeroen Bransen (Java⁻ Hero) Kevin Verbeek Michael Vasseur Mylène Martodihardjo Pavel Kunyavskiy (**Kotlin** Hero) Wendy Yi

The jury

Gijs Pennings Jonas van der Schaaf Jorke de Vlas Lammert Westerdijk Maarten Sijm Mees de Vries Mike de Vries Ragnar Groot Koerkamp Reinier Schmiermann Thore Husfeldt Tobias Roehr Wietze Koops

Want to join the jury? Submit to the Call for Problems of BAPC 2025 at: https://jury.bapc.eu/